

HIERARCHIES OF SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES VIA THE BGG-CORRESPONDENCE

GUNNAR FLØYSTAD

ABSTRACT. Via the BGG-correspondence a simplicial complex Δ on $[n]$ is transformed into a complex of coherent sheaves $\tilde{L}(\Delta)$ on the projective space $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$. In general we compute the support of each of its cohomology sheaves.

When the Alexander dual Δ^* is Cohen-Macaulay there is only one such non-zero cohomology sheaf. We investigate when this sheaf can be an a 'th syzygy sheaf in a locally free resolution and show that this corresponds exactly to the case of Δ^* being $a + 1$ -Cohen-Macaulay as defined by K.Baclawski [4].

By putting further conditions on the sheaves we get nice subclasses of $a + 1$ -Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes whose f -vector depends only on a and the invariants n, d , and c . When $a = 0$ these are the bi-Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes, when $a = 1$ and $d = 2c$ cyclic polytopes are examples, and when $a = c$ we get Alexander duals of the Steiner systems $S(c, d, n)$.

We also show that Δ^* is Gorenstein* iff the associated coherent sheaf of Δ is an ideal sheaf.

INTRODUCTION

A simplicial complex Δ on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ corresponds to a monomial ideal in the exterior algebra. Via the BGG-correspondence such an ideal transforms to a complex of coherent sheaves $\tilde{L}(\Delta)$ on the projective space $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$. In a recent paper, [12], J.E.Vatne and the author studied when the BGG-correspondence applied to a simplicial complex Δ gives rise to a complex $\tilde{L}(\Delta)$ with at most one non-zero cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{S}(\Delta)$. We showed that this happens exactly when the Alexander dual Δ^* is a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complex. We then singled out a particularly nice class of coherent sheaves, the locally Cohen-Macaulay sheaves and asked when $\mathcal{S}(\Delta)$ belonged to this class. This turned out to happen when both Δ and Δ^* are Cohen-Macaulay.

In this paper we study this further in several directions. Firstly we develop in Section 3 criteria for telling which cohomology sheaves of $\tilde{L}(\Delta)$ are non-zero and if so, what their support is. We also describe the multigraded Hilbert functions of these cohomology sheaves. This is related to the cellular complexes of [6].

Secondly, we again single out nice classes of coherent sheaves and ask when a simplicial complex Δ is such that $\mathcal{S}(\Delta)$ is in such a class. This time

we consider the class of coherent sheaves $\mathcal{S}h_a$ which can occur as a 'th syzygy sheaf in a locally free resolution of a coherent sheaf. Thus when a is 1 we have the torsion free sheaves, when a is 2 the reflexive sheaves and when a is $n - 1$ the vector bundles. In Section 4 we consider the class $\mathcal{CL}_a$ of simplicial complexes Δ such that $\mathcal{S}(\Delta)$ is in $\mathcal{S}h_a$, giving us a "hierarchy" $\mathcal{CL} = \mathcal{CL}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{CL}_1 \supseteq \dots$ of simplicial complexes. Note that $\mathcal{CL}$ is the class of Alexander duals of Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes. There turns out to be nice, compact criteria for membership in the classes $\mathcal{CL}_a$ and when taking restrictions and links of a Δ in $\mathcal{CL}_a$ these are predictably in $\mathcal{CL}_a$ and $\mathcal{CL}_{a-1}$ respectively. (Via Alexander duals this generalizes the fact that the link of a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complex is Cohen-Macaulay.)

The three most important invariants of a simplicial complex Δ is n , its dimension $d - 1$ and c , the largest integer such that all c -sets are in Δ . Via certain nice additional properties which one may put on sheaves in $\mathcal{S}h_a$ we further single out a particular nice subclass of $\mathcal{CL}_a$. When a is 0 this subclass turns out to be the class studied in [12], the bi-Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes. When a is c , the maximal interesting value for a , it turns out that we get exactly the Steiner systems $S(c, d, n)$. In general for each a , the simplicial complexes of this nice subclass of $\mathcal{CL}_a$ will have f -vectors depending only on c, d and n .

Now taking Alexander duals of the classes $\mathcal{CL}_a$ we get in Section 5 a "hierarchy" of Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes $\mathcal{CM} = \mathcal{CM}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{CM}_1 \supseteq \dots$. The simplicial complexes in $\mathcal{CM}_a$ turn out to be exactly the $a + 1$ -Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes as defined by K. Baclawski [4]. (Thus the simplicial complexes in $\mathcal{CL}_a$ are the Alexander duals of the $a + 1$ -Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes.) After translating most of the results for the classes $\mathcal{CL}_a$ and its subclasses to the classes $\mathcal{CM}_a$ and its subclasses, we consider the subclass of $\mathcal{CM}$ consisting of Gorenstein* simplicial complexes Δ . This class corresponds exactly to the subclass of $\mathcal{CM}_1$ with $\tilde{H}_{d-1}(\Delta) = k$ and is the class of Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes Δ such that $\mathcal{S}(\Delta^*)$ is a torsion free rank one coherent sheaf. Moreover we show that this sheaf may be naturally identified with the associated coherent sheaf of the ideal defining the Stanley-Reisner ring of Δ^* .

Having described the contents of Sections 3, 4, and 5 we inform that Section 1 contains preliminaries and techniques for dealing with simplicial complexes. Section 2 describes the BGG-correspondence and the classes of coherent sheaves we single out for study, namely the classes of sheaves which can be a 'th syzygy sheaves in a locally free resolution. In the last section, Section 6, we pose some problems for further study.

1. SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES.

Denote by $[n]$ the set of integers $\{1, \dots, n\}$. A simplicial complex Δ on $[n]$ is a family of subsets of $[n]$ such that if $Y \subseteq X \subseteq [n]$ and X is in Δ then Y is in Δ .

1.1. Notions. We recall some notions for simplicial complexes. An element in Δ is called a *face* of Δ , and a maximal face is a *facet*. If d is the maximal cardinality of a face of Δ , the *dimension* of Δ is $d - 1$. If c is the largest integer such that all c -sets in $[n]$ are in Δ , we call $c - 1$ the *frame dimension* of Δ . By convention the empty simplex $\emptyset$ has $c = -1$ (while $\{\emptyset\}$ has $c = 0$).

The *Alexander dual* simplicial complex Δ^* is the simplicial complex on $[n]$ consisting of all F in $[n]$ such that the complement $F^c = [n] \setminus F$ is not in Δ . Let $d^* - 1$ and $c^* - 1$ be the dimension and frame dimension of Δ^* . It is easily seen that

$$n = d + c^* + 1, \quad n = d^* + c + 1.$$

Now we introduce the following notation. Let $R \cup S \cup T$ be a partition of $[n]$ into three disjoint subsets, and let

$$\Delta_R^{S,T} = \{F \subseteq R \mid F \cup S \in \Delta\}$$

which is a simplicial complex on R . Note that $\Delta_{S^c}^{S,\emptyset}$ is the link $\text{lk}_\Delta S$, and that $\Delta_R^{\emptyset,R^c}$ is the restriction Δ_R to R . Also note that $\Delta_R^{S,T}$ is $\emptyset$ iff S is not a face of Δ .

Lemma 1.1.

$$(\Delta_R^{S,T})^* = (\Delta^*)_R^{T,S}.$$

Proof. Let F be a subset of R . That F is in $(\Delta_R^{S,T})^*$ means that $R \setminus F$ is not in $\Delta_R^{S,T}$ or $(R \setminus F) \cup S$ not in Δ .

That F is in $(\Delta^*)_R^{T,S}$ means that $F \cup T$ is in Δ^* or $[n] \setminus (F \cup T)$ not in Δ . But the latter is $(R \setminus F) \cup S$. $\square$

1.2. Homology. Let V be a vector space over a field k with basis $e_1, \dots, e_n$ and $E = E(V)$ the exterior algebra $\bigoplus_0^n \wedge^i V$. Let $W = V^*$ be the dual space with dual basis $x_1, \dots, x_n$. Consider the monomials $e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_r}$ in E such that $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ is not in Δ . They form a basis for an ideal J_Δ in E .

Dualizing the inclusion $J_\Delta \subseteq E$ we get an exact sequence of E -modules

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow C_\Delta \rightarrow E(W) \rightarrow (J_\Delta)^* \rightarrow 0.$$

where C_Δ is the kernel. A basis for C_Δ consist of all monomials $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_r}$ such that $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ are in Δ . Left multiplication with $u = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_n$ gives a differential d on C_Δ and the reduced homology of Δ is defined by (W has degree -1)

$$\tilde{H}_p(\Delta) = H^{-p-1}(C_\Delta, d).$$

Note that via the isomorphism of E -modules between $E(V)$ and $E(W)(-n)$, the ideal J_Δ gets identified with the submodule $C_{\Delta^*}(-n)$ of $E(W)(-n)$. We therefore get an exact sequence

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow C_\Delta \rightarrow E(W) \rightarrow (C_{\Delta^*})^*(n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 1.2. $\tilde{H}_{n-3-p}(\Delta^*) \cong \tilde{H}_p(\Delta)^*.$

Proof. Since the differential on $E(W)$ is acyclic we get from (2)

$$H^{-p-1}(C_\Delta) \cong H^{n-2-p}((C_{\Delta^*})^*) = H^{p+2-n}(C_{\Delta^*})^*.$$

□

1.3. Reducing. Now if $[n]$ is partitioned as $R \cup \{x\}$ we get a short exact sequence of E -modules

$$0 \rightarrow C_{\Delta_R} \rightarrow C_\Delta \rightarrow C_{\text{lk}_\Delta\{x\}}(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

The following is a basic kind of general deduction from this type of exact sequence.

Proposition 1.3. *Let $R \cup S \cup T$ be a partition of $[n]$ and suppose $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_R^{S,T})$ is non-zero.*

- a) (Reducing S .) *Given $S' \subseteq S$. Then there are $R' \supseteq R$ and $T' \supseteq T$ such that $\tilde{H}_{p'}(\Delta_{R'}^{S',T'})$ is non-zero, where $p' - p$ is the cardinality of $R' \setminus R$.*
- b) (Reducing T .) *Given $T' \subseteq T$. Then there are $R' \supseteq R$ and $S' \supseteq S$ such that $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_{R'}^{S',T'})$ is non-zero.*
- c) (Reducing R .) *Given $R' \subseteq R$. Then there are $S' \supseteq S$ and $T' \supseteq T$ such that $\tilde{H}_{p'}(\Delta_{R'}^{S',T'})$ is non-zero where $p - p'$ is the cardinality of $S' \setminus S$.*

Proof. All of these just follow from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_{\Delta_R^{S,T \cup \{x\}}} \rightarrow C_{\Delta_{R \cup \{x\}}^{S,T}} \rightarrow C_{\Delta_R^{S \cup \{x\},T}}(1) \rightarrow 0$$

where $R \cup S \cup T \cup \{x\}$ is a partition of $[n]$, by running long exact cohomology sequences. □

Remark 1.4. This proposition generalizes Corollary 4.4 of [1].

Notation 1.5. We shall usually write $\Delta_{-R}^{S,T}$ for $\Delta_{[n] \setminus R}^{S,T}$ (in which case $R = S \cup T$). Often we shall also drop the T and write this simply as Δ_{-R}^S . In general when Y is a set we shall use the lower case letter y to denote the cardinality of Y . For instance given Δ_{-R}^S then r and s will be the cardinalities of R and S respectively.

Corollary 1.6. *Suppose $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_{-S \cup T}^{S,T})$ is non-zero. Then $c-1 \leq p+s \leq d-1$ and the following holds.*

- a) *If $p+s = c-1$ and $S' \subseteq S$ then $\tilde{H}_{p'}(\Delta_{-S' \cup T}^{S',T})$ is non-zero, where $p' + s' = c-1$.*
- b) *If $p+s = d-1$ and $T' \subseteq T$ then $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_{-S \cup T'}^{S,T'})$ is non-zero.*
- c) *If $p \geq 0$ then $\tilde{H}_{p-1}(\text{lk}_\Delta S')$ is non-zero for some S' strictly containing S .*
- d) *$\tilde{H}_{p'}(\Delta_R)$ is non-zero for some R and $p' \geq p$.*
- e) *$\tilde{H}_p(\text{lk}_\Delta S')$ is non-zero for some S' containing S .*

Proof. The frame dimension of $\Delta_{-S \cup T}^{S,T}$ is $\geq c - s - 1$. If therefore $p < c - s - 1$ then $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_{-S \cup T}^{S,T})$ is zero. Similarly the dimension of $\text{lk}_\Delta S$ is $\leq d - s - 1$ and so if $p \geq d - s$ then $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_{-S \cup T}^{S,T})$ is zero.

a) Reduce S to S' and get $\tilde{H}_{p'}(\Delta_{-S' \cup T'}^{S',T'})$ non-zero. First note we must have $p' + s' \geq c - 1$. Also we have $p' - p = s + t - s' - t'$. Since $t' \geq t$ we must have $T = T'$ and $p' + s' = c - 1$. Part b) is shown similarly as a).

c) We have $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_R^{S,T})$ non-zero. Now reduce R . Sooner or later S increases to $\tilde{S} = S \cup \{x\}$ such that

$$(3) \quad \tilde{H}_{p-1}(\Delta_{\tilde{R}}^{\tilde{S},\tilde{T}}) \neq 0.$$

This is so since otherwise we would get $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_\emptyset^{S,S^c})$ non-zero which is impossible. Now reducing $\tilde{T}$ in (3) to $\emptyset$ we get $\tilde{H}_{p-1}(\Delta_{-S'}^{S',\emptyset})$ non-zero.

d) and e) follow by reducing S , respectively T , to the empty set. $\square$

For later we need the following.

Lemma 1.7. *If Δ is not the $n - 1$ -simplex or the empty simplex, then $H_{c-1}(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ is non-zero for some S .*

Proof. Let R be a $c + 1$ -set which is not a face of Δ . Then clearly $H_{c-1}(\Delta_R)$ is non-zero. Now reduce $T (= R^c)$ to $\emptyset$, and get $H_{c-1}(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ non-zero. $\square$

1.4. CLeray and Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes. For an integer e , a simplicial complex Δ is called e -Leray, [15, p.12], if $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_R) = 0$ for all $p \geq e$ and subsets R of $[n]$. Motivated by this we make the following definition.

Definition 1.8. A simplicial complex Δ is *CLeray* if $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_R) = 0$ for all $p \geq c$, that is for all p greater than the frame dimension of Δ , and subsets R of $[n]$. (Note that we do not speak of a complex being say, 3Leray or 2Leray. One can speak of a complex being CLeray or 3-Leray.)

Note that when $c = 0$ this gives that Δ is a simplex on the vertices it contains.

Recall that Δ is *Cohen-Macaulay* if $\tilde{H}_p(\text{lk}_\Delta S) = 0$ when $p + s \leq d - 2$. The class of CLeray and Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes are now seen to be Alexander dual.

Proposition 1.9. *Δ is CLeray iff Δ^* is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. By Lemmata 1.1 and 1.2

$$\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_R)^* = \tilde{H}_{r-3-p}((\Delta_R^{\emptyset,R^c})^*) = \tilde{H}_{r-3-p}((\Delta^*)_R^{R^c,\emptyset}) = \tilde{H}_{r-3-p}(\text{lk}_{\Delta^*} R^c).$$

The condition that $p \geq c$ is equivalent to $n - 3 - p \leq d^* - 2$ and so we get the statement. $\square$

Another description of Δ being CLeray is the following.

Proposition 1.10. *Δ is CLeray iff $\tilde{H}_c(\text{lk}_\Delta S) = 0$ for all S in Δ .*

Proof. Suppose Δ is not CLeray. Then $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_R)$ is non-zero for some R and $p \geq c$. By Corollary 1.6 e), we get $\tilde{H}_p(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ non-zero for some S and so $\tilde{H}_c(\text{lk}_\Delta S')$ non-zero for some S' in Δ by 1.6 c).

Conversely, if $\tilde{H}_c(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ is non-zero, then by Corollary 1.6 d), $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_R)$ is non-zero for some $p \geq c$ and so Δ is not CLeray. $\square$

Corollary 1.11. Δ is Cohen-Macaulay iff $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_{-R})$ is zero for $p+r = d-2$.

Proof. Using Lemma 1.2 this follows from the above. $\square$

2. THE BGG-CORRESPONDENCE AND A HIERARCHY OF COHERENT SHEAVES

Recall that $W = V^*$ is the dual space of V and let $S = S(W)$ be the symmetric algebra on W . If $M = \oplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} M_i$ is a graded module over E we can form the complex

$$L(M) : \cdots \rightarrow S(i) \otimes_k M_i \xrightarrow{d^i} S(i+1) \otimes_k M_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

with differential given by

$$d^i(s \otimes m) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n s x_\alpha \otimes e_\alpha m.$$

Sheafifying this we get a complex of coherent sheaves

$$\tilde{L}(M) : \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(i) \otimes_k M_i \xrightarrow{d^i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(i+1) \otimes_k M_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

on the projective space $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$. This, in short, is the BGG-correspondence between graded modules over the exterior algebra and complexes of coherent sheaves on $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$, originally from [3]. Our main reference for this will be [10].

Let us give some properties of this correspondence.

2.1. Restriction to linear subspaces. Let V' be a subspace of V so $W' = V'^*$ is a quotient space of W . Via $E(V') \rightarrow E(V)$, the module M may be restricted to a module $\text{res } M$ over $E(V')$. Also via $S(W) \rightarrow S(W')$ we may form the quotient complex $L(M) \otimes_S S(W')$. These are related by

$$L'(\text{res } M) = L(M) \otimes_S S(W')$$

where L' is the corresponding functor for $E(V')$ -modules.

2.2. Duals of complexes. Now we consider $\wedge^n W$ to be a module over E in degree $-n$.

Let $M^\vee = \text{Hom}_k(M, \wedge^n W)$. Then we have canonically

$$L(M^\vee) = \text{Hom}_S(L(M), S(-n) \otimes_k \wedge^n W)[n].$$

Sheafifying this, note that the canonical sheaf $\omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}$ naturally identifies with the sheafification of $S(-n) \otimes_k \wedge^n W$, we get

$$(4) \quad \tilde{L}(M^\vee) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}}(\tilde{L}(M), \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})[n].$$

In particular if the only nonzero cohomology of $\tilde{L}(M)$ is $\mathcal{F} = H^{-a}\tilde{L}(M)$, a coherent sheaf, we see that

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}}^r(\mathcal{F}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}) = H^{a+r-n}\tilde{L}(M^\vee).$$

2.3. Calculating Tor's. For a graded module M over $E(V)$ and v an element of V , let $H^p(M, v)$ be the cohomology of the complex

$$M_{p-1} \xrightarrow{\cdot v} M_p \xrightarrow{\cdot v} M_{p+1}.$$

Letting $k(v)$ be $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}/\mathcal{I}_v$ where $\mathcal{I}_v$ is the ideal sheaf of the point v in $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ we have, due to [11],

$$H^p(M, v) = H^p(\tilde{L}(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}} k(v)).$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{F} = H^0\tilde{L}(M)$ is the only non-zero cohomology

$$H^{-p}(M, v) = \mathrm{Tor}_p^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}}(\mathcal{F}, k(v)).$$

This is what will be needed about the BGG-correspondence. Now we turn to describing some classes of coherent sheaves.

2.4. A hierarchy of coherent sheaves.

Definition 2.1. A coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ is an a 'th syzygy sheaf if there is a locally free resolution of some coherent sheaf $\mathcal{M}$

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{M} \leftarrow \mathcal{E}_0 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow \mathcal{E}_{i-1} \xleftarrow{d_i} \mathcal{E}_i \leftarrow \dots$$

such that $\mathcal{F}$ is the kernel of d_{a-1} .

Now let $\mathrm{Sh} = \mathrm{Sh}^0$ be the category of all coherent sheaves on $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$. For $a \geq 1$ let Sh_a be the full subcategory of Sh consisting of the sheaves which can occur as an a 'th syzygy sheaves. There is then a filtration into “nicer and nicer” classes of coherent sheaves

$$\mathrm{Sh}_0 \supseteq \mathrm{Sh}_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathrm{Sh}_{n-1}.$$

Note that Sh_1 are the torsion free sheaves, Sh_2 are the reflexive sheaves, i.e. sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ such that the natural map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}$ is an isomorphism (here $\mathcal{F}^\vee$ is $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}}(\mathcal{F}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$), and Sh_{n-1} are the vector bundles.

Proposition 2.2. $\mathcal{F}$ is in Sh_a if and only if the codimension of the support of $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}}^i(\mathcal{F}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$ is greater or equal to $i + a$ for all $i > 0$.

Proof. If $\mathcal{F}$ is in Sh_a , then with the notation in (6), $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{F}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$ is equal to $\mathcal{E}xt^{i+a}(\mathcal{M}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$ for $i > 0$ and the statement follows by [8, 20.9].

For later use note the following.

Fact. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a coherent sheaf with support in codimension r , then the sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{F}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$ has codimension r when $i = r$ and is zero when $0 < i < r$. This follows for instance from [8, 18.4] and its proof.

Now assume $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{F}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$ has codimension greater or equal to $i + a$ for $i \geq 1$. If $a \geq 1$ it follows from the above fact that $\mathcal{F}$ cannot have a torsion subsheaf, and so $\mathcal{F}$ is in Sh_1 .

Now let $a \geq 2$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is in Sh_1 , there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the cokernel. Let $1 + r$ be the codimension of $\mathcal{P}$. Since any torsion free sheaf is locally free outside a codimension 2 subset, $1 + r \geq 2$. Now we get a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}xt^r(\mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^r(\mathcal{F}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^{r+1}(\mathcal{P}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}) \\ &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^{r+1}(\mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $\mathcal{P}$ is non-zero, that is $\mathcal{F}$ is not in Sh_2 , then $\mathcal{E}xt^r(\mathcal{F}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$ has codimension $1 + r$, which is against our assumption. Hence $\mathcal{P}$ is zero and so $\mathcal{F}$ is in Sh_2 .

Now we look at the situation when $a \geq 3$. We know then that $\mathcal{F}$ is reflexive. Let

$$\mathcal{F} \leftarrow \mathcal{E}_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \mathcal{E}_m$$

be a locally free resolution of $\mathcal{F}$. Dualizing we get

$$\mathcal{F}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0^\vee \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_m^\vee$$

with cohomology $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{F}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$ at $\mathcal{E}_i^\vee$. Now we claim that $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{F}^\vee, \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$ is zero for $0 < i < a - 1$. More generally, if $\mathcal{K}_r$ is the kernel of $\mathcal{E}_r^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{r+1}^\vee$, then $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{K}_r, \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$ is zero for $0 < i < r + a - 1$. This follows easily by breaking the complex $\mathcal{E}$ into short exact sequences and using the fact noted above. If we take a locally free resolution $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{F}^\vee$ and dualize this we get a complex

$$\mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{a-1}^\vee \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_0^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{-1}^\vee \rightarrow \cdots$$

which is exact at all $\mathcal{D}_i^\vee$ when $i > 0$. Hence $\mathcal{F}$ which is equal to $\mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}$ is in Sh_a . $\square$

To obtain even nicer classes of coherent sheaves, we can consider the subcategory $\text{Sh}_a^\dagger$ of Sh_a consisting of $\mathcal{F}$ such that there exist $r > 0$ with $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{F}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$ zero except possibly when i is 0 or r .

3. SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES VIA THE BGG-CORRESPONDENCE

Given a simplicial complex Δ over $[n]$, recall the module C_Δ over $E(V)$. Using the BGG-correspondence, we may form the complex $\tilde{L}(C_\Delta)$ of coherent sheaves on $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$. Of interest then is the cohomology sheaves of $\tilde{L}(C_\Delta)$. The following basic result was established in [12].

Theorem 3.1. *a) $\tilde{L}(C_\Delta)$ has at most one non-zero cohomology group $\mathcal{F}$ if and only if Δ is CLeray. In this case $\mathcal{F} = H^{-c}\tilde{L}(C_\Delta)$.*

b) The cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is locally Cohen-Macaulay sheaf if and only if Δ is both CLeray and Cohen-Macaulay.

Notation 3.2. We write $L(\Delta)$ for $L(C_\Delta)$ and $S^a(\Delta)$ and $\mathcal{S}^a(\Delta)$ for the a 'th cohomology groups of $L(\Delta)$ and $\tilde{L}(\Delta)$ respectively. In case Δ is CLeray we simply write $\mathcal{S}(\Delta)$ for $\mathcal{S}^c(\Delta)$. If Δ is both CLeray and Cohen-Macaulay we call Δ bi-Cohen-Macaulay.

We shall now establish a more general result, namely determine for any Δ which cohomology sheaves of $\tilde{L}(\Delta)$ are non-zero. We first establish some other results.

Lemma 3.3. *The associated primes of each cohomology group $H^{-p}L(\Delta)$ are of the form $(x_i)_{i \in R}$ where R is a subset of $[n]$.*

Proof. $H^{-p}L(\Delta)$ is graded by $\mathbf{Z}^{n+1}$. By [8, Exc. 35] an associated prime is also graded by $\mathbf{Z}^{n+1}$. But the only such prime ideals are of the above form. $\square$

3.1. Local ranks. The following Proposition and Corollary is due to T. Ekedahl. Let $v = \sum \lambda_i e_i$ be a linear form in $E(V)$. It can also be considered as a point in $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$. We call the set $R = \{i \mid \lambda_i \neq 0\}$ the *support* of v .

Proposition 3.4. *Let $v = \sum \lambda_i e_i$ be a point in $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ with support R . Then*

$$H^{-p}(\tilde{L}(\Delta) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}} k(v)) = \oplus_{S \subseteq R^c} \tilde{H}_{p-1-s}(\Delta_R^{S,T}).$$

Proof. First note the following general fact. If $v = \sum \lambda_i e_i$ where all λ_i are non-zero, let $u = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$. Then

$$H^{-p}(C_\Delta, v) = H^{-p}(C_\Delta, u) = \tilde{H}_{p-1}(\Delta).$$

This is because the map $C_\Delta \rightarrow C_\Delta$ defined by $x_i \mapsto \lambda_i x_i$ gives an isomorphism between the complexes (C_Δ, v) and (C_Δ, u) .

Now by Paragraph 2.3

$$H^{-p}(\tilde{L}(\Delta) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}} k(v)) = H^{-p}(C_\Delta, v).$$

Let V_R be the subspace of V spanned by the e_i where i is in R . Restricting C_Δ via $E(V_R) \rightarrow E(V)$ we get

$$\text{res } C_\Delta = \oplus_{S \subseteq R^c} C_{\Delta_R^{S,T}}(s).$$

Therefore

$$H^{-p}(C_\Delta, v) = \oplus_{S \subseteq R^c} H^{-p+s}(C_{\Delta_R^{S,T}}, v) = \oplus_{S \subseteq R^c} \tilde{H}_{p-s-1}(\Delta_R^{S,T}).$$

$\square$

Corollary 3.5. *a) The rank of $H^{-p}\tilde{L}(\Delta)$ is the dimension of $\tilde{H}_{p-1}(\Delta)$.*

b) Let Δ be CLeray and v a point in $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ with support R . Then

$$\text{Tor}_p^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}}(\mathcal{S}(\Delta), k(v)) = \oplus_{S \subseteq R^c} \tilde{H}_{p+c-1-s}(\Delta_R^{S,T}).$$

Proof. Immediate. $\square$

Example 3.6. Let the dimension of Δ be 1, so Δ is a graph and suppose $c = 1$. Then Δ is CLeray iff Δ is a forest, Δ is Cohen-Macaulay iff Δ is connected and Δ is bi-Cohen-Macaulay iff Δ is a tree.

We can compute the rank of $\mathcal{S}(\Delta)$ at a point v with support R as follows. (We use lower case letters to denote the dimension of a cohomology group.)

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{S}(\Delta)_v = \tilde{h}_0(\Delta_R) + \sum_{x \in R^c} \tilde{h}_{-1}(\Delta_R^{\{x\}}).$$

Note that $\tilde{h}_{-1}(\Delta_R^{\{x\}})$ is non-zero iff $\Delta_R^{\{x\}}$ is $\{\emptyset\}$ and this holds iff $R \cap \text{lk}_\Delta \{x\}$ is $\emptyset$. Thus

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{S}(\Delta)_v = \tilde{h}_0(\Delta_R) + \#\{x \mid R \cap \text{lk}_\Delta \{x\} = \emptyset\}.$$

3.2. Cohomology modules. Now we shall investigate the cohomology modules of $L(\Delta)$. Note that the complex $L(\Delta)$ is simply the *cellular complex*, see [6], obtained by associating to vertex i in Δ the monomial variable x_i . For $\mathbf{a}$ in $\mathbf{N}^n$ let $\Delta_{\leq \mathbf{a}}$ be the subcomplex of Δ on the vertices i for which $a_i > 0$, and call the set of such i the support of $\mathbf{a}$. The following observation is part of the proof of [6, Prop.1.2] and gives the multigraded Hilbert functions of the cohomology modules.

Proposition 3.7. *a) $H^{-p}L(\Delta)_{\mathbf{a}}$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{H}_{p-1}(\Delta_{\leq \mathbf{a}})$.*

b) Let m be a monomial and $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a} + \deg_{\mathbf{Z}^n} m$. There is then a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{H}_{p-1}(\Delta_{\leq \mathbf{a}}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}_{p-1}(\Delta_{\leq \mathbf{b}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^{-p}L(\Delta)_{\mathbf{a}} & \xrightarrow{\cdot m} & H^{-p}L(\Delta)_{\mathbf{b}} \end{array}$$

Proof. The graded part $L(\Delta)_{\mathbf{a}}$ identifies naturally with the complex $(C_{\Delta_{\leq \mathbf{a}}}, d)$ as follows. Let M be the monomial corresponding to $\mathbf{a}$. Now

$$L^{-p}(\Delta) = S(-p) \otimes_k (C_\Delta)_{-p} = \oplus_I S u_I$$

where $u_I = 1 \otimes_k x_I$. There is a natural map

$$(C_{\Delta_{\leq \mathbf{a}}})_p \rightarrow L^{-p}(\Delta)_{\mathbf{a}}$$

given by

$$x_I \mapsto M/x_I \cdot u_I.$$

We verify easily that this is an isomorphism and commutes with the differentials in $C_{\Delta_{\leq \mathbf{a}}}$ and $L(\Delta)_{\mathbf{a}}$. This gives a) and b). $\square$

Corollary 3.8. *$H^{-p}L(\Delta)$ is zero iff $\tilde{H}_{p-1}(\Delta_R)$ is zero for all $R \subseteq [n]$.*

Now we look closer at the cohomology modules of $L(\Delta)$. For a subset R of $[n]$ let $P(R)$ be the homogeneous prime ideal in the polynomial ring S defining the linear subspace $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ spanned by the e_i where i is in R . That is $P(R) = (x_i)_{i \notin R}$.

Theorem 3.9. a) The associated primes of $H^{-p}L(\Delta)$ are the prime ideals $P(R)$ where R is maximal such that $\tilde{H}_{p-1}(\Delta_R)$ is non-zero.

b) The depth of $H^{-p}L(\Delta)$ is $\geq p+1$ for $p \geq 1$.

c) For R as in a) there is an isomorphism

$$(S/P(R))(-r)_{(P(R))}^{\rho} \cong H^{-p}L(\Delta)_{(P(R))}$$

where ρ is the dimension of $\tilde{H}_{p-1}(\Delta_R)$.

Proof. a) Let R be maximal such that $\tilde{H}_{p-1}(\Delta_R)$ is non-zero. Let m in $H^{-p}L(\Delta)$ have support R . Then $x_i m = 0$ for i not in R , so clearly $P(R)$ is the annihilator of m and is an associated prime.

Conversely, let $P(R)$ is an associated prime, the annihilator of a multihomogeneous element m . Then $x_i m$ is zero for i not in R , and all $\Pi_{i \in R} x_i^{r_i} m$ are non-zero. Hence $H^{-p}L(\Delta)_{\mathbf{a}}$ is nonzero if the support of $\mathbf{a}$ is R and zero if the support of $\mathbf{a}$ strictly contains R and so R is maximal such that $\tilde{H}_{p-1}(\Delta_R)$ is nonzero.

b) Let

$$H^{-p}L(\Delta) \leftarrow F_0 \leftarrow F_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow F_r$$

be a minimal multigraded resolution. Say that $\mathbf{a}$ in $\mathbf{N}^n$ is of *characteristic* type if every a_i is 0 or 1. By construction of the complex $L(\Delta)$, all the minimal generators of $H^{-p}L(\Delta)$ are of characteristic type and of degree $\geq p+1$. It is easily seen that the same holds for the kernel of $F_0 \rightarrow H^{-p}L(\Delta)$, and so for all kernels. So the generators of F_l are of characteristic type and so have degree $\leq n$. Hence the length r of the resolution is $\leq n - p - 1$. By the Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem $H^{-p}L(\Delta)$ has depth $\geq p+1$.

c) Let $\delta(R)$ in $\mathbf{N}^n$ have 1 in position i if i is not in R and 0 otherwise. Since $H^{-p}L(\Delta)_{\delta(R)}$ is isomorphic to $H^{p-1}(\Delta_R)$ there is a sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (S/P(R))(-r)^{\rho} \rightarrow H^{-p}L(\Delta) \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

where ρ is the k -dimension of $\tilde{H}_{p-1}(\Delta_R)$ and Q is the cokernel. Now localizing we find that $Q_{(P(R))}$ is zero since $\Pi_{i \in R} x_i \cdot Q$ is zero. $\square$

Corollary 3.10. a) The sheaf $H^{-p}\tilde{L}(\Delta)$ has no embedded linear subspaces and is supported on a reduced union of coordinate linear subspaces of $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$.

b) For $p \geq 1$, $H^{-p}L(\Delta)$ is the graded global sections of the sheaf $H^{-p}\tilde{L}(\Delta)$.

Proof. The first statement follow from a) and c) of Theorem 3.9. To prove the last statement, let $M = H^{-p}L(\Delta)$. By b) of Theorem 3.9 the local cohomology groups $H_m^0(M)$ and $H_m^1(M)$ are zero, [8, Thm. A4.3]. That $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \Gamma(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \tilde{M}(k))$ is equal to M now follows by [8, Thm. A4.1]. $\square$

4. HIERARCHIES OF CLERAY COMPLEXES

We consider the various hierarchies of coherent sheaves $\mathcal{S}h_a$ and subclasses of them and investigate simplicial complexes Δ such that $\mathcal{S}(\Delta)$ is in such a class.

4.1. $a + 1$ -CLeray simplicial complexes. Let $\mathcal{CL}_a$ be the class of CLeray simplicial complexes Δ such that $\mathcal{S}(\Delta)$ is in Sh_a . Note that if Δ is the $c - 1$ -skeleton of the $n - 1$ -simplex then $\mathcal{S}(\Delta)$ is the vector bundle $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}^c$ and so Δ is in $\mathcal{CL}_a$ for all a . We shall however make the convention that the $c - 1$ -skeleton of the $n - 1$ -simplex is in $\mathcal{CL}_a$ iff $c \geq a - 1$. Thus for instance $\mathcal{CL}_3$ contains the 1-skeleton but not the 0-skeleton, $\{\emptyset\}$, or $\emptyset$. The simplicial complexes in $\mathcal{CL}_a$ are now called $a + 1$ -CLeray. The following gives a criterion for Δ to be $a + 1$ -CLeray.

Theorem 4.1. Δ is $a + 1$ -CLeray iff $c \geq a - 1$ and

$$\tilde{H}_{c-a}(\text{lk}_\Delta S) = 0, \quad \text{for } s \geq a.$$

In particular, if Δ is not the $a - 2$ -skeleton of the $n - 1$ -simplex then $c \geq a$.

Proof. a) That Δ is in $\mathcal{CL}_a$ means that $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{S}(\Delta), \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$ has codimension $\geq i + a$ for all $i \geq 1$. By (5) this sheaf is the cohomology sheaf

$$H^{c+i-n} \tilde{L}((C_\Delta)^\vee).$$

Now by (2) there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_{\Delta^*} \rightarrow E(W) \rightarrow (C_\Delta)^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

and so we get $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{S}(\Delta), \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$ equal to $H^{c+i-n+1} \tilde{L}(\Delta^*)$. That this is a sheaf with support in codimension $\geq i + a$ means that

$$(7) \quad \tilde{H}_{n-i-2-c}((\Delta^*)_{-S}) = 0$$

when $s < i + a$. By Lemma 1.1 $(\Delta^*)_{-S}$ is $(\text{lk}_\Delta S)^*$. And so by Lemma 1.2, (7) is the same as

$$(8) \quad \tilde{H}_{c+i-s-1}(\text{lk}_\Delta S) = 0$$

for $s < i + a$. Now put $s = i + a - 1 - p$ where $p \geq 0$. Then this becomes

$$(9) \quad \tilde{H}_{c-a+p}(\text{lk}_\Delta S) = 0$$

for $s \geq a - p$. By Corollary 1.6 c) if $c \geq a$ then $\tilde{H}_{c-a}(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ zero for $s \geq a$ implies (9), so this latter is the condition that Δ is in $a + 1$ -CLeray when $c \geq a$. When $c < a$, then again by Corollary 1.6 c), (9) is implied by $\tilde{H}_{-1}(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ zero for $s \geq c + 1$ and this means that Δ has no faces of dimension c . Hence it is the $c - 1$ -skeleton of the $n - 1$ -simplex and by our convention we have $c = a - 1$. $\square$

Corollary 4.2. a) Δ is $a + 1$ -CLeray iff $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_R^S)$ is zero for $p + s \geq c$ and $p \geq c - a$.

b) If Δ is $a + 1$ -CLeray, then Δ_R is $a + 1$ -CLeray.

Proof. a) Suppose $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_R^S)$ is nonzero where $p + s \geq c$ and $p \geq c - a$ where $c \geq a$. By Corollary 1.6 e) $H_p(\text{lk}_\Delta S')$ is nonzero for some S' containing S and

by Corollary 1.6 c) we get $\tilde{H}_{c-a}(\text{lk}_\Delta S'')$ is nonzero where $s'' - s' \geq p - (c - a)$. But then

$$s'' \geq p + s' - (c - a) \geq c - (c - a) = a$$

and this is against assumption.

b) This is clear since the frame dimension of Δ_R is greater or equal to that of Δ . $\square$

As stated after Definition 1.8, when Δ is 1-CLeray with $c = 0$, then Δ is a simplex on its vertices. In view of Theorem 4.1 it is of interest to investigate the $a + 1$ -CLeray Δ 's with minimal interesting frame dimension which is $a - 1$ (when the frame dimension is $a - 2$ it is the $a - 2$ -skeleton of the $n - 1$ -simplex).

Proposition 4.3. *Δ is $c + 1$ -CLeray iff any two distinct facets intersect in a subset of cardinality less than c . (If $c = 0$ this means that there is only one facet.)*

Proof. Suppose Δ is $c + 1$ -CLeray. Let F and G be two distinct facets such that the cardinality of $S = F \cap G$ is maximal. Now we claim that $\text{lk}_\Delta S$ is disconnected. Suppose not and let $F = F_1 \cup S$ and $G = G_1 \cup S$ where $F_1 \cap G_1$ is empty. Then there would be a path from some vertex f_1 in F_1 to some vertex g_1 in G_1 in $\text{lk}_\Delta S$. Say the path starts with $\{f_1, x\}$ where x is not a vertex in F_1 . Then $H = S \cup \{f_1, x\}$ is a face of Δ , H is not contained in F and $F \cap H$ has cardinality larger than S which goes against our assumptions. Hence $\tilde{H}_0(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ is non-zero. By Theorem 4.1 a) we get that $s < c$.

In the other direction, given that distinct facets always intersect in cardinality $< c$, we see that $\text{lk}_\Delta S$ when $s \geq c$ is always a simplex. Hence $\tilde{H}_0(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ is zero and so Δ is $c + 1$ -CLeray. $\square$

By Corollary 1.6, if $p + s \leq c - 2$ then $\tilde{H}_p(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ is zero and Corollary 4.2 gives conditions on homology when $p + s \geq c$. It is therefore of interest to investigate what happens when $p + s = c - 1$. The following is motivating for the classes studied in Subsection 4.3.

Proposition 4.4. *$(p + s = c - 1)$*

- a) *If $\tilde{H}_{c-s-1}(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ is zero and S' contains S then $\tilde{H}_{c-s'-1}(\text{lk}_\Delta S')$ is zero.*
- b) *Suppose Δ is $a + 1$ -CLeray. Then $\tilde{H}_{c-a}(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ is nonzero for any face S with $s = a - 1$.*

Proof. a) follows from Corollary 1.6 a) by contraposition.

b) Let S' be a facet containing S where s is $a - 1$. Then $\tilde{H}_{-1}(\Delta_{-S'}^{S', \emptyset})$ is non-zero. Reduce S' to S and get $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_R^{S, T})$ non-zero and so $p + s \geq c - 1$. Now reduce T to $\emptyset$ and get $\tilde{H}_p(\text{lk}_\Delta \tilde{S})$ non-zero where $\tilde{S} \supseteq S$. Since Δ is in $\mathcal{CL}_a$, $\tilde{H}_p(\text{lk}_\Delta \tilde{S})$ is zero when $p + \tilde{s} \geq c$ and $p \geq c - a$. Hence either $p + \tilde{s} \leq c - 1$ or $p \leq c - a - 1$. The latter is impossible since $p + a - 1$ which

is $p + s \geq c - 1$ and the former gives $\tilde{s} = s = a - 1$ and so $\tilde{H}_p(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ is non-zero. $\square$

We now give a somewhat more conceptual description of what it means for a complex to be $a + 1$ -CLeray. First a lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *If Δ is 2-CLeray, the frame dimension of $\text{lk}_\Delta\{x\}$ is one less than that of Δ .*

Proof. Since Δ is 2-CLeray, $c \geq 0$. If $c = 0$ this holds, so assume $c \geq 1$. We have $\tilde{H}_p(\text{lk}_\Delta(\{x\} \cup S))$ zero for $p + s + 1 \geq c$ and $p \geq c - 1$, which reduces simply to the condition $p \geq c - 1$. Thus by Lemma 1.7 the frame dimension of $\text{lk}_\Delta\{x\}$ is $\leq c - 2$ and so must be equal to $c - 2$. $\square$

Theorem 4.6. *Δ is $a + 1$ -CLeray iff every link $\text{lk}_\Delta S$ with $s = a$ is CLeray with frame dimension $c - a - 1$.*

Proof. We may assume $c \geq a \geq 1$. If Δ is $a + 1$ -CLeray then

$$\tilde{H}_{c-a}\text{lk}_\Delta(S \cup T) = 0, \quad \text{when } s = a \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

Since by Lemma 4.5 the frame dimension of $\text{lk}_\Delta S$ is $c - a - 1$, we get that $\text{lk}_\Delta S$ is CLeray. Conversely, if each $\text{lk}_\Delta S$ is CLeray of frame dimension $c - a - 1$ then (4.1) holds and so Δ is $a + 1$ -CLeray. $\square$

4.2. The classes $\mathcal{CL}_a^\dagger$. Let $\mathcal{CL}_a^\dagger$ be the class of CLeray simplicial complexes Δ such that $\mathcal{S}(\Delta)$ is in $\text{Sh}_a^\dagger$. The following gives a criterion for Δ to be in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\dagger$.

Theorem 4.7. *Δ is in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\dagger$ iff $\tilde{H}_p(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ is zero for all p and s in the range*

$$c \leq p + s \leq d - 2$$

and also when p, s are in the range

$$p + s = d - 1, \quad p \geq c - a.$$

In this case every facet has dimension $c - 1$ or $d - 1$.

Proof. For $\mathcal{S}(\Delta)$ to be in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\dagger$, there is some r such that $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{S}(\Delta), \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$ is zero except possibly when i is 0 or r , and in the latter case it has codimension $\geq r + a$. By the argument of Theorem 4.1 this means that

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{c+i-s-1}(\text{lk}_\Delta S) &= 0 && \text{for all } s \text{ when } i \neq 0, r \\ H_{c+r-s-1}(\text{lk}_\Delta S) &= 0 && \text{for } s < a + r. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $p = c + i - s - 1$ this gives $H_p(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ zero when $p + s \geq c$ and $p + s \neq c + r - 1$ or when $p + s = c + r - 1$ and $p \geq c - a$. But let S be a facet. Then $\text{lk}_\Delta S$ is $\{\emptyset\}$ and so $\tilde{H}_{-1}(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ is non-zero. Hence if $s \geq c + 1$, letting $s = c + i$, we see from (10) that we must have $i = r$ and so $s = c + r$. So all facets S with $s \geq c + 1$ must have $s = c + r$ which is then equal to d . $\square$

Corollary 4.8. *a) Δ is in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\dagger$ iff $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_{-R}^S)$ is zero when p, s (and r) are in the range*

$$c \leq p + s \leq d - 2$$

and also when p and s are in the range

$$p + s = d - 1, \quad p \geq c - a.$$

b) If Δ is in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\dagger$ then Δ_{-R} is in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\dagger$.

Proof. The proofs are analogous to those of Corollary 4.2. $\square$

Theorem 4.9. *Δ is in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\dagger$ iff every link $\text{lk}_\Delta S$ with $s = a$ is in $\mathcal{CL}_0^\dagger$ with dimension $d - a - 1$ and frame dimension $c - a - 1$ or is the $c - a - 1$ skeleton of the simplex on $[n] \setminus S$.*

Proof. We can assume $c \geq a \geq 1$. If now Δ is in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\dagger$, the facets have dimension $c - 1$ or $d - 1$. In view of Lemma 1.7 the statement follows immediately from Theorem 4.6. $\square$

Remark 4.10. The if direction is not true unless we assume the links to be of dimension $d - a - 1$ or $c - a - 1$. If one simply assume the links are of frame dimension $c - a - 1$ and are in $\mathcal{CL}_0^\dagger$, then a counterexample is given by starting with the disjoint union of a 2-simplex and a 3-simplex and then adding all line segments between pairs of vertices of them.

4.3. The classes $\mathcal{CL}_a^\circ$. By Proposition 4.4 the nicest behaviour one can expect for the homology groups $\tilde{H}_p(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ when $p + s = c - 1$ and Δ is in $\mathcal{CL}_a$ is

$$(11) \quad \tilde{H}_{c-a-1}(\text{lk}_\Delta S) = 0, \quad \text{when } s = a.$$

We now let $\mathcal{CL}_a^\circ$ be the complexes Δ such that Δ is in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\dagger$ and fulfills the condition (11).

(Note that when Δ is in $\mathcal{CL}_{a+1}$ then by Proposition 4.4, $\tilde{H}_{c-a-1}(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ is nonzero for $s = a$, so if Δ is in $\mathcal{CL}_a$ and fulfills (11) then it is not in $\mathcal{CL}_{a+1}$.)

Two special cases are interesting to take note of.

Example 4.11. If Δ in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\circ$ has $c = a$, the lowest interesting value for c , then the condition (11) says that $H_{-1}(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ is zero for $s = c = a$. Hence by Theorem 4.7 all facets of Δ have dimension $d - 1$, and by Proposition 4.3 any two facets intersect in a face of dimension $\leq c - 2$. Hence we get precisely the Steiner systems $S(c, d, n)$. In particular the f -vector only depends on c, d , and n .

Example 4.12. When Δ is in $\mathcal{CL}_0^\circ$ the condition (11) says $\tilde{H}_{c-1}(\Delta)$ is zero and so $\mathcal{S}(\Delta)$ is a torsion sheaf. Then $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{S}(\Delta), \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$ is nonzero only for $i = d - c$ and so $\mathcal{S}(\Delta)$ is a locally Cohen-Macaulay sheaf. By [12] such Δ are bi-Cohen-Macaulay, i.e. both Δ and Δ^* are Cohen-Macaulay and we

showed that the f -vector of such Δ again only depends on n, d , and c . In fact we showed that the f -polynomial $f_\Delta(t) = \sum_i f_{i-1}t^i$ is

$$f_\Delta(t) = (1+t)^{d-c}(1 + (n-d+c)t + \cdots + \binom{n-d+c}{c}t^c).$$

By the following theorem and its corollary this generalizes.

Theorem 4.13. Δ is in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\circ$ iff each $\text{lk}_\Delta S$ with $s = a$ is bi-Cohen-Macaulay with invariants $n-a$, $d-a$, and $c-a$.

Proof. Suppose Δ is in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\circ$. The condition (11) together with Proposition 4.4 a) gives $H_{-1}(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ zero when $s = c$. Hence by Proposition 4.3 all facets of Δ have dimension $d-1$. This shows that $\text{lk}_\Delta S$ has invariants $n-a$, $d-a$, and $c-a$. It is now immediate that $\text{lk}_\Delta S$ fulfills the condition to be in $\mathcal{CL}_0^\circ$ and this means that $\text{lk}_\Delta S$ is bi-Cohen-Macaulay. In the converse direction it is immediate to see that Δ fulfills the condition to be in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\circ$. $\square$

Corollary 4.14. Suppose Δ in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\circ$ has invariants n, d , and $c \geq a$. Then $f_\Delta(t)$ is a polynomial $f_a(n, d, c; t)$ depending only on a, n, d , and c , and is given inductively as follows.

- 1) $f'_a(n, d, c; t)/n = f_{a-1}(n-1, d-1, c-1; t)$
- 2) $f_0(n, d, c; t) = (1+t)^{d-c}(1 + (n-d+c)t + \cdots + \binom{n-d+c}{c}t^c).$

Proof. We may assume $a \geq 1$ and assume first that $c \geq 2$. By induction the f -polynomial of $\text{lk}_\Delta \{x\}$ is

$$g(t) = f_{a-1}(n-1, d-1, c-1; t)$$

and is independent of x . Now if F is any i -dimensional face of Δ , then $F \setminus \{x\}$ is an $i-1$ -dimensional face of $\text{lk}_\Delta \{x\}$ for each x in F . Therefore ng_{i-1} counts all pairs (F, x) where x is in F . But this is also equal to $f_i(i+1)$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} ng_{i-1} &= f_i(i+1) \\ ng(t) &= f'_\Delta(t). \end{aligned}$$

In case $c = 1$ then $a = 1$ and Δ is a disjoint union of n/d simplexes of dimension $d-1$ and so

$$f_\Delta(t) = (n/d)(1+t)^d + 1 - n/d$$

and so 1) also holds in this case since

$$f_0(n-1, d-1, 0; t) = (1+t)^{d-1},$$

$\square$

Remark 4.15. The Δ in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\circ$ are a -(n, d, λ) block designs (see [5, Chap.14]), where λ is the number of facets of $\text{lk}_\Delta S$ for S any face of cardinality a . One may see that λ is $\binom{n-d+c-a}{c-a}$.

The following generalizes the fact that when Δ is in $\mathcal{CL}_0^\circ$ then $\mathcal{S}(\Delta)$ is a locally Cohen-Macaulay sheaf of codimension $d-c$ and so $\mathcal{E}xt^{d-c}(\mathcal{S}(\Delta), \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$ is a locally Cohen-Macaulay sheaf.

Proposition 4.16. *If Δ is in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\circ$, then the only non-vanishing higher Ext-sheaf, $\mathcal{E}xt^{d-c}(\mathcal{S}(\Delta), \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$, is a locally Cohen-Macaulay sheaf.*

Proof. For short write $\mathcal{E}$ for $\mathcal{E}xt^{d-c}(\mathcal{S}(\Delta), \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}})$. The sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_{\Delta^*} \rightarrow E(W) \rightarrow (C_{\Delta})^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{L}(\Delta^*) \rightarrow \tilde{L}(E(W)) \rightarrow \tilde{L}((C_{\Delta})^\vee) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence by (4) and (5), $\tilde{L}(\Delta^*)$ only has possibly non-zero cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{E}$ in degree $c + (d - c) - n + 1$ which is $-c^*$, and $H^{-d^*}\tilde{L}(\Delta^*)$ in degree $-d^*$. The condition (11) for Δ , when translated to Δ^* , see (13) and the paranthetical remark after, gives that all generators of $H^{-d^*}\tilde{L}(\Delta^*)$ have degree $\geq n - a + 1$. Since they are of characteristic type (see the proof of Theorem 3.9) a free resolution has length $\leq a - 1$.

Hence $\mathcal{E}$ has a locally free resolution of length $\leq d^* - c^* + a$ which is equal to $d - c + a$. By the Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem [8, Thm. 19.9], $\mathcal{E}$ then has local depth $\geq (n - 1) - (d - c + a)$. But Δ being in $\mathcal{CL}_a$, this sheaf has local dimension $\leq (n - 1) - (d - c + a)$. Since local dimension is greater or equal to local depth we must have equalities everywhere and so $\mathcal{E}$ is locally Cohen-Macaulay. $\square$

5. HIERARCHIES OF COHEN-MACAULAY SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES

The Alexander duals of CLeray simplicial complexes are the Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes. Therefore by taking Alexander duals of the various hierarchies of CLeray complexes, we get hierarchies of Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes. This section will contain the Alexander dual versions of most of the statements in Section 4. But we shall also give a description of what it means for a simplicial complex Δ to be Gorenstein* in terms of the associated coherent sheaf $\mathcal{S}(\Delta^*)$. We prove that Δ is Gorenstein* iff $\mathcal{S}(\Delta^*)$ is an ideal sheaf, i.e. a subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}$. In fact it turns out to be the associated sheaf of the ideal defining the Stanley-Reisner ring of Δ^* .

5.1. $a+1$ -Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes. Let $\mathcal{CM}_a$ be the class of Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes which are Alexander duals of the simplicial complexes in the class $\mathcal{CL}_a$. We shall show that this is exactly the class of $a+1$ -Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes as defined by Baclawski [4].

Theorem 5.1. *Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a$ iff $d \leq n - a$ and $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_{-R})$ is zero when $p + r = d + a - 2$ and $p \leq d - 2$. In particular, if Δ is not the $n - a - 1$ -skeleton of the $n - 1$ -simplex, then $d \leq n - a - 1$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.1 Δ^* is in $\mathcal{CL}_a$ means that $d \leq n - a$ and

$$(12) \quad \tilde{H}_{c^*-a}((\Delta^*)_{-S}^S) = 0 \quad \text{for } s \geq a.$$

Now $(\Delta^*)_{-S}^S$ is equal to $(\Delta_{-S})^*$ and so by Lemma 1.2, (12) is equivalent to

$$\tilde{H}_{(n-s)+a-c^*-3}(\Delta_{-S}) = 0 \quad \text{for } s \geq a.$$

Since $n - 1 - c^* = d$ we get the statement. $\square$

Corollary 5.2. *a) Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a$ iff $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_{-R}^S)$ is zero when $p + s \leq d - 2$ and $p + r \leq d + a - 2$.*

b) If Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a$, then $lk_\Delta S$ is also in $\mathcal{CM}_a$.

Proof. This is just the Alexander dual versions of Corollary 4.2, using Lemma 1.2. $\square$

Corollary 5.3. *Suppose Δ has dimension one, i.e. Δ is a graph. Then Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a$ if and only if Δ contains at least $a+2$ vertices and is $a+1$ -connected.*

Proof. Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a$ iff $\tilde{H}_{-1}(\Delta_{-R})$ is zero for $r = a + 1$ and $\tilde{H}_0(\Delta_{-R})$ is zero for $r = a$. This translates precisely to the above. $\square$

The following describes the objects of $\mathcal{CM}_a$ with upper extremal values for d . Recall that a subset F of $[n]$ is a *missing face* of Δ if F is not in Δ . Now if Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a$ and not the $n - a - 1$ -skeleton of the $n - 1$ -simplex then $d \leq n - a - 1$ or equivalently $a \leq n - d - 1$.

Proposition 5.4. *Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_{n-d-1}$ iff the cardinality of $F \cup G$ is $\geq d + 2$ for all distinct missing faces F and G .*

Proof. Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_{n-d-1}$ iff Δ^* is $c^* + 1$ -CLeray. If F and G are two distinct missing faces then the complements F^c and G^c are faces of Δ^* and so the cardinality of $F^c \cap G^c$ is $\leq c^* - 1$. But then the cardinality of $F \cup G$ is $\geq n - c^* + 1$ and this is $d + 2$. $\square$

The following shows that Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a$ iff Δ is $a + 1$ -Cohen-Macaulay ($a + 1$ -CM) as defined by Baclawski, [4].

Theorem 5.5. *Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a$ iff every restriction Δ_{-R} with $r = a$ is Cohen-Macaulay of the same dimension as Δ .*

Proof. This is just the Alexander dual version of Theorem 4.6. $\square$

From [4] we have the following means of constructing $a + 1$ -CM simplicial complexes.

Theorem 5.6 ([4]). *If Δ and Δ' are $a + 1$ -CM simplicial complexes, then the join $\Delta * \Delta'$ is also $a + 1$ -CM.*

In particular, the Δ' consisting of $m \geq a + 1$ vertices is $a + 1$ -CM and so the m -point suspension of Δ will be $a + 1$ -CM.

Remark 5.7. If F is a free resolution of the Stanley-Reisner ring of Δ , it follows from Theorem 5.1 and Hochsters description of $\text{Tor}_i^S(k[\Delta], k)$, see [17,

II.4.8], that Δ is $(a+1)$ -CM iff it is Cohen-Macaulay and $F_i = S(-i-d)^{r_i}$ for $n-d \geq i > n-d-a$.

5.2. The classes $\mathcal{CM}_a^\dagger$. Let $\mathcal{CM}_a^\dagger$ be the class of simplicial complexes which are Alexander duals of the simplicial complexes in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\dagger$.

Theorem 5.8. Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a^\dagger$ iff $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_{-R})$ is zero for all r when p is in the range $c \leq p \leq d-2$ and also when $p = c-1$ and $r < d+a-c$.

Proof. This is the Alexander dual version of Theorem 4.7. $\square$

Corollary 5.9. a) Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a^\dagger$ iff $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_{-R}^S)$ is zero for p, s (and r) in the range $c \leq p+s \leq d-2$ and also when $p+s = c-1$ and $s+r < d+a-c$.

b) If Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a^\dagger$ then $lk_\Delta S$ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a^\dagger$.

Proof. This is the Alexander dual version of Corollary 4.8. $\square$

Theorem 5.10. Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a^\dagger$ iff each restriction Δ_{-R} with $r = a$ is either in $\mathcal{CM}_0^\dagger$ with the same dimension and frame dimension as Δ or is the $d-1$ -skeleton of the simplex on $[n] \setminus R$.

Proof. This is the Alexander dual version of Theorem 4.9. $\square$

5.3. The classes $\mathcal{CM}_a^\circ$. We let $\mathcal{CM}_a^\circ$ be the class of simplicial complexes which are Alexander duals of the simplicial complexes in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\circ$. If Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a^\circ$ so Δ^* is in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\circ$ the condition (11) is equivalent to the condition

$$(13) \quad \tilde{H}_{d-1}(\Delta_{-R}) = 0 \quad \text{when } r = a.$$

(It then follows by Proposition 4.4 that $\tilde{H}_{d-1}(\Delta_{-R}) = 0$ for $r \geq a$.) Thus Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a^\circ$ iff it is in $\mathcal{CM}_a^\dagger$ and fulfills (13).

Example 5.11. If Δ is a cyclic polytope of odd dimension, then Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_1^\circ$. To see this, note that by Alexander duality for Gorenstein* simplicial complexes [17, p.66]

$$(14) \quad \tilde{H}_p(\Delta_{-R}) = \tilde{H}_{d-2-p}(\Delta_R)^*.$$

Now we can apply Theorem 5.8. For a cyclic polytope of odd dimension, $d = 2c$ and we see that if $c \leq p \leq d-2$ then $0 \leq d-2-p \leq c-2$ and so (14) is zero. Also $p = c-1$ gives $d-2-p = c-1$ and $r \leq d+a-c-1$ is the same as $r \leq c$. Thus (14) is zero and so Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_1^\dagger$. That (13) holds is immediate from (14) and so Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_1^\circ$.

Theorem 5.12. Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a^\circ$ iff every restriction Δ_{-R} where $r = a$, is bi-Cohen-Macaulay of the same dimension and frame dimension as Δ .

Proof. This is the Alexander dual version of Theorem 4.13. $\square$

Corollary 5.13. If Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a^\circ$ and $r \leq a$, then Δ_{-R} is in $\mathcal{CM}_{a-r}^\circ$.

5.4. Gorenstein* simplicial complexes. We shall now describe where Gorenstein* complexes fit in our scheme. Recall that Δ is Gorenstein* if $\tilde{H}_p(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ is k when $p + s = d - 1$ and S a face of Δ , and zero otherwise. The following theorem is certainly well known (except the last statement). It follows for instance from [4, 4.7].

Theorem 5.14. *Δ is Gorenstein* iff Δ is 2-CM and $\tilde{H}_{d-1}(\Delta) = k$, i.e. iff $S(\Delta^*)$ is a torsion free rank one sheaf.*

Proof. Suppose Δ is Gorenstein*. By Theorem 5.1 we must show that $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_{-R})$ is zero when $p + r = d - 1$ and $p \leq d - 2$. By the Alexander duality theorem for Gorenstein* simplicial complexes [17, p.66], $\tilde{H}_p(\Delta_{-R})$ is equal to $\tilde{H}_{d-2-p}(\Delta_R)^*$. Hence we need to show that $\tilde{H}_{p'}(\Delta_R)$ is zero when $r = p' + 1$. But this is true simply because the homology of any simplicial complex on n elements always vanishes in degrees $\geq n - 1$.

Now suppose Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_1$ with $\tilde{H}_{d-1}(\Delta)$ equal to k . By Corollary 5.2 a) then $\tilde{H}_p(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ is zero for $p + s \leq d - 2$. We must therefore show in addition that $\tilde{H}_p(\text{lk}_\Delta S)$ is k when $p + s = d - 1$ and S a face of Δ . Since $\text{lk}_\Delta \{x\}$ is in $\mathcal{CM}_1$ it is enough by induction to show that $\tilde{H}_{d-2}(\text{lk}_\Delta \{x\})$ is k when $\{x\}$ is a face of Δ . But there is a sequence

$$\tilde{H}_{d-1}(\Delta_{-\{x\}}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{d-1}(\Delta) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{d-2}(\text{lk}_\Delta \{x\}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{d-2}(\Delta_{-\{x\}}).$$

Since Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_1$, $\tilde{H}_{d-2}(\Delta_{-\{x\}})$ is zero. Since $\text{lk}_\Delta \{x\}$ is in $\mathcal{CM}_1$, applying Proposition 4.4 b) we get that $\tilde{H}_{d-2}(\text{lk}_\Delta \{x\})$ is non-zero. And so we must have $\tilde{H}_{d-2}(\text{lk}_\Delta \{x\})$ equal to k . $\square$

Proposition 5.15. *When Δ is Gorenstein* there is a natural identification of $S(\Delta^*)$ with the ideal defining the Stanley-Reisner ring of the simplicial complex Δ^* . (And so this ideal defines a subscheme of codimension $c + 1$ in $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$.)*

Proof. The paranthetical remark is because the codimension of the subscheme defined by the ideal of the Stanley-Reisner ring of Δ^* is $n - d^*$ which is $c + 1$.

First we shall suppose $c \geq 1$. Then $\tilde{H}_{d-1}(\Delta_{-\{x\}})$ by Alexander duality is equal to $\tilde{H}_{-1}(\Delta_{\{x\}})$ which is zero. Hence Δ fulfills condition (13) and by the last remark in Subsection 5.3, $H^{-d}\tilde{L}(\Delta)$ is equal to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(-n)$.

Now by (5) and the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_\Delta \rightarrow E(W) \rightarrow (C_{\Delta^*})^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

we get

$$\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{S}(\Delta^*), \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}) = H^{-d}\tilde{L}(\Delta) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(-n) = \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}.$$

Since $\mathcal{S}(\Delta^*)$ is torsion free

$$\mathcal{S}(\Delta^*) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Delta^*)^{\vee\vee} = \mathcal{H}om(\omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}, \omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}.$$

Taking graded global sections

$$S(\Delta^*) = \oplus_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \Gamma(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{S}(\Delta^*)(m)) \hookrightarrow \oplus_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \Gamma(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(m)) = S$$

we get $S(\Delta^*)$ as an ideal in S .

If $c = 0$, let R consist of the x such that $\{x\}$ is a face in Δ . Let r be the cardinality of R . In this case $H^{-d}\tilde{L}(\Delta)$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(-r)$. Hence $S(\Delta^*)^{\vee\vee}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(r-n)$. By the inclusion

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(r-n) \xrightarrow{\Pi_{i \notin R} x_i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}$$

we also get $S(\Delta^*)$ as an ideal sheaf in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}$ and taking graded global sections we get $S(\Delta^*)$ as an ideal in S .

Now we want to identify this ideal as the ideal of the Stanley-Reisner ring associated to Δ^* . By the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_{\Delta^*} \rightarrow E(W) \rightarrow (C_{\Delta})^{\vee} \rightarrow 0$$

we get (using (4)) a sequence of complexes

$$(15) \quad 0 \rightarrow L(\Delta^*) \rightarrow L(E(W)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(L(\Delta), S(-n))[n] \rightarrow 0.$$

Now the latter complex is

$$(16) \quad S(d-n)^{f_{d-1}} \leftarrow S(d-1-n)^{f_{d-2}} \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow S(-n)$$

where the cohomological degree of the first term is $d-n$. Taking the long exact cohomology sequence of (15) we get that the only cohomology of (16) is in cohomological degree $d-n$ and is

$$H^{d-n+1}L(\Delta^*) = H^{-c^*}L(\Delta^*) = S(\Delta^*).$$

Hence (16) is a resolution of the ideal $S(\Delta^*)$ in S . Since it is a multi-graded resolution the generators of $S(\Delta^*)$ in S will have multidegrees the multidegrees of the indices J when writing

$$S(d-n)^{f_{d-1}} = \oplus_J S(d-n)u_J.$$

But by the BGG-correspondence we recognise the J 's as the multidegrees of the facets of Δ . But this means exactly that $S(\Delta^*)$ is the ideal of the Stanley-Reisner ring of Δ^* . $\square$

Remark 5.16. By the result of [9] the Stanley-Reisner ideal I_{Δ^*} in S of a simplicial complex Δ^* has a linear resolution iff Δ is Cohen-Macaulay. The same is valid for the the analog J_{Δ^*} of the Stanley-Reisner ideal in the exterior algebra E . But this is the same as saying that the ideal J_{Δ^*} is a *Koszul module* over E (see [16]). The algebras E and S are Koszul duals. Via the functors relating (complexes of) modules over them, J_{Δ^*} then transfers to a Koszul module over S . The above Proposition 5.15 then shows that this transformed module is an ideal in S exactly when Δ is Gorenstein*.

Summing up, Δ is Cohen-Macaulay iff J_{Δ^*} in E is a Koszul ideal (which transfers to a Koszul module), and Δ is Gorenstein* iff J_{Δ^*} in E is a Koszul ideal transforming to a Koszul *ideal* in S .

Remark 5.17. If Δ is in $\mathcal{CM}_a$ then the link $\text{lk}_\Delta S$ is also in $\mathcal{CM}_a$. Hence if S is of dimension $d - 2$, then $\text{lk}_\Delta S$ consists of a set of vertices of, being in $\mathcal{CM}_a$, cardinality $\geq a + 1$.

The subclass $\mathcal{G}_a$ of $\mathcal{CM}_a$ such that this cardinality is always the minimum possible, namely $a + 1$, might be a reasonable generalization of Gorenstein* complexes, since $\mathcal{G}_1$ would be exactly this class. If Δ and Δ' are in $\mathcal{G}_a$ then the join $\Delta * \Delta'$ is also in $\mathcal{G}_a$, in particular the $a + 1$ -point suspension of Δ is in $\mathcal{G}_a$.

In contrast to the case for Gorenstein* simplicial complexes there does however not seem to be any formula for $\tilde{H}_{d-1}(\Delta)$ for Δ in $\mathcal{G}_a$ for instance in terms of n and d .

6. PROBLEMS

We pose the following two problems.

Problem 1. *What are the possible f -vectors (or h -vectors) of the simplicial complexes in the classes $\mathcal{CL}_a$ and $\mathcal{CM}_a$.*

This is likely to be a very difficult problem since any answer also would include an answer to what the h -vectors of Gorenstein* simplicial complexes are. However, any conjecture about this would be highly interesting since it would contain as a subconjecture what the h -vectors of Gorenstein* simplicial complexes are.

Problem 2. *Construct simplicial complexes in the classes $\mathcal{CL}_a^\circ$ and $\mathcal{CM}_a^\circ$ for various parameters of n, d, c , and a .*

As has been pointed out this has been done in a number of particular cases. When $a = 0$ we have the bi-Cohen-Maculay simplicial complexes constructed in [12]. When $a = 1$ and $d = 2c$ we have the cyclic polytopes in $\mathcal{CM}_1^\circ$, and when $a = c$, many Steiner systems $S(c, d, n)$ have been constructed, which give simplicial complexes in $\mathcal{CL}_a^\circ$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.Aramova and L.L.Avrarov and J.Herzog *Resolutions of monomial ideals and cohomology over exterior algebras* Trans. AMS 352 (1999) nr.2, pp. 579-594.
- [2] D.Bayer, H.Charalambous, S.Popescu *Extremal Betti numbers and applications to monomial ideals* Journal of Algebra 221 (1999) pp.497-512.
- [3] I.N.Bernstein and I.M.Gelfand and S.I.Gelfand *Algebraic bundles over $\mathbb{P}^n$ and problems of linear algebra* Funct. Anal. and its Appl. 12 (1978) pp.212-214.
- [4] K.Baclawski *Cohen-Macaulay Connectivity and Geometric Lattices* Europ. J. Combinatorics 3 (1982), pp.293-305.
- [5] A.E.Brouwer, in *Handbook of combinatorics* North-Holland, Elsevier Science B.V. (1995).
- [6] D.Bayer, B.Sturmfels *Cellular resolutions of monomial ideals* J. Reine Angew. Math. 102 (1998) pp. 123-140.
- [7] D.Bayer, I.Peeva, B.Sturmfels *Monomial resolutions* Math.Res.Lett. 5 (1998) no.1-2, pp. 31-46.

- [8] D.Eisenbud *Commutative algebra with a view towards algebraic geometry* GTM 150, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [9] J.A.Eagon and V.Reiner *Resolutions of Stanley-Reisner rings and Alexander duality* Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 130 (1998) pp.265-275.
- [10] D.Eisenbud and G.Fløystad and F.-O. Schreyer *Sheaf Cohomology and Free Resolutions over Exterior Algebras* Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 335 (2003) no. 11, pp.4397-4426.
- [11] D.Eisenbud, S.Popescu, and S.Yuzvinski *Hyperplane arrangement cohomology and monomials in the exterior algebra* Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 335 (2003) no.11, pp.4365-4383.
- [12] G.Fløystad and J.E.Vatne *(Bi)-Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes and their associated coherent sheaves* preprint, math.AG/0209061.
- [13] G.Fløystad *Describing coherent sheaves on projective spaces via Koszul duality* preprint, math.AG/0012263.
- [14] R.Harthorne *Stable reflexive sheaves* Mathematische Annalen, 254 (1980), no.2, pp.121-176.
- [15] N.Alon, G.Kalai, J.Matousek, R.Meshulam *Transversal numbers for hypergraphs arising in geometry* Advances in Appl. Math. 29 (2002), no.1, p. 79 - 101.
- [16] E. Green, R. Martinez Villas *Koszul and Yoneda algebras*. Canadian Mathematical Society Conference Proceedings **18** (1996), 247-297.
- [17] R.Stanley *Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra* Second Edition, Birkhäuser 1996.

MATEMATISK INSTITUTT, JOHS. BRUNSGT. 12, 5008 BERGEN, NORWAY

E-mail address: `gunnar@mi.uib.no`